This document is scheduled to be published in the Federal Register on 2026-11-24 and available online at https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2026-23863, and on https://govinfo.gov

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1523]

Certain Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM) Devices, Products Containing the Same, and Components Thereof (III); Notice of a Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion to Terminate the Investigation in Its Entirety Due to Settlement; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 5) granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety due to settlement. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov*. For help accessing EDIS, please email *EDIS3Help@usitc.gov*. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at *https://www.usitc.gov*. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 25, 2026, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Netlist, Inc. ("Netlist") of Irvine, California. 91 FR 60980-81 (Sept. 25, 2026). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain dynamic random access memory (DRAM) devices, products containing the same, and components thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1-13 and 16-18 of U.S. Patent No. 10,025,731; claims 1-15, 17, and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 10,217,523; claims 1-14 and 16-28 of U.S.

Patent No. 12,373,366; and claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 12,675,407. *Id.* The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents, Micron Technology, Inc. of Boise, Idaho; Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc. of Boise, Idaho (together, "Micron"); Hewlett Packard Enterprise Co. ("HP") of Spring, Texas; Lenovo Group Ltd. ("Lenovo") of Quarry Bay, Hong Kong; Lenovo (United States) Inc. ("Lenovo US") of Morrisville, North Carolina; and Super Micro Computer, Inc. ("Super Micro") of San Jose, California. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") is participating in this investigation. *Id.*

On October 26, 2026, Netlist and Micron jointly moved to terminate the investigation in its entirety as to all respondents based upon settlement. The motion stated that the settlement agreements executed by Netlist and Micron "are fully effective as between them," and that the agreements completely resolve the dispute between Netlist and Micron, as well as between Netlist and the other four respondents (HP, Lenovo, Lenovo US, Super Micro) because they receive their accused products from Micron. ID at 2. The motion stated that none of the four respondents opposed the motion. *Id.* The ID noted that OUII supports the motion. *Id.*

On October 27, 2026, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 5) granting the motion. The ID noted that Commission Rule 210.21 provides that "[a]n investigation before the Commission may be terminated as to one or more respondents… on the basis of a licensing or other settlement agreement." ID at 2 (emphasis omitted) (citing 19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)). The ID found that the motion complies with the Commission rules and that there are no extraordinary circumstances that warrant denying the motion. *Id.* at 3. The ID observed that the parties provided both confidential and public versions of the settlement agreements in compliance with Commission Rule 201.6. *Id.* at 3. The ID further found that the motion includes a statement that "there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between any of the parties concerning the subject matter of this Investigation." *Id.* The ID also found no evidence that termination of this investigation would be contrary to the public interest. *Id.*

Regarding the four respondents (HP, Lenovo, Lenovo US, Super Micro), who receive their accused products from Micron, but are not privy to the settlement agreements between Netlist and

Micron, the ID stated that Netlist is effectively withdrawing the complaint as to them. *Id.* at 2-3. The ID noted that Commission Rule 210.21 provides that "[a]ny party may move at any time prior to the issuance of an initial determination on violation of section 337 … to terminate an investigation in whole or in part as to any or all respondents, on the basis of withdrawal of the complaint or certain allegations contained therein." *Id.* at 4 (emphasis omitted) (citing 19 CFR 210.21(a)(1)). The ID found that the motion also complies with Commission rules as to withdrawal of the complaint as to HP, Lenovo, Lenovo US, and Super Micro. *Id.*

No one petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is hereby terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on November 19, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 19, 2026.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2026-23863 Filed: 11/23/2026 8:45 am; Publication Date: 11/24/2026]