



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-520-807]

Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023-2024

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers/exporters subject to this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), December 1, 2023, through November 30, 2024.

DATES: Applicable [INSERT DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE *FEDERAL REGISTER*].

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Janz, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2972.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 3, 2026, Commerce published in the *Federal Register* the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review and invited interested parties to comment.¹ The deadline for these final results is October 1, 2026.

For a complete description of the events since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty

¹ See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023-2024*, 91 FR 33142 (June 3, 2026) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from United Arab Emirates; 2023-2024," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS), which is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/frnotices>.

Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the *Order*³

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is circular welded carbon-quality steel pipe from the United Arab Emirates. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs submitted by interested parties are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum and listed in the appendix to this notice.

Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*

Based on our analysis of the comments received from interested parties regarding the *Preliminary Results*, we made certain changes to the weighted-average dumping margin calculations for THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC; KHK Scaffolding and Formwork LLC; and Universal Tube and Pipe Industries FZE (collectively, Universal).⁴ For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Rates for the Non-Individually Examined Companies

The Act does not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. However, 19 CFR 351.109(g) states that Commerce will determine the rate for non-selected companies by applying the methodology set

³ See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the Sultanate of Oman, Pakistan, and the United Arab Emirates: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 91906 (December 19, 2016) (*Order*).

⁴ See Issues and Decision Memorandum.

forth in 19 CFR 351.109(f)(1)-(2), which generally parallels the methodology for determining the all-others rate in an investigation under section 735(c)(5) of the Act. Under 19 CFR 351.109(f)(1) and section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero and *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.”

In this administrative review, we calculated weighted-average dumping margins for the individually investigated respondents, Conares Metal Supply Limited (Conares) and Universal, that are not zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts otherwise available. Accordingly, we are assigning to the companies under review that were not selected for individual examination a weighted-average dumping margin equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for Conares and Universal, weighted by the mandatory respondents’ publicly ranged total sales values, consistent with the guidance in section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act.⁵

Final Results of Review

Commerce determines that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period December 1, 2023, through November 30, 2024:

Producer/Exporter	Weighted-Average Dumping Margin (percent)
Conares Metal Supply Limited	3.15
THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC; KHK Scaffolding and Formwork LLC; Universal Tube and Pipe Industries FZE	5.11
Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind. L.L.C.-Branch-1; Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind. L.L.C.	4.68
K.D. Industries Inc.	4.68

⁵ With two respondents under examination, Commerce normally calculates: (A) a weighted-average of the dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents; (B) a simple average of the dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents; and (C) a weighted-average of the dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents using each company’s publicly ranged U.S. sale quantities for the merchandise under consideration. Commerce then compares (B) and (C) to (A) and selects the rate closest to (A) as the most appropriate rate for all other producers and exporters. See, e.g., *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Review, and Revocation of an Order in Part*, 75 FR 53661, 53663 (September 1, 2010); see also Memorandum, “Calculation of the Preliminary Weighted-Average Dumping Margin for the Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination,” dated concurrently with this notice.

TSI Metal Industries L.L.C.	4.68
-----------------------------	------

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations and analysis performed in connection with the final results of this administrative review to interested parties within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the publication date of this notice in the *Federal Register*, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), because Conares and Universal reported the entered value of their U.S. sales, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* antidumping duty assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for an importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those sales. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importer-specific rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.⁶

In accordance with Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Conares or Universal for which the company did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate those entries at the all-others rate calculated in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.⁷

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2); see also *Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

⁷ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

For the companies listed above of that were not selected for individual examination, we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries at the review-specific rate, calculated as noted in the “Rate for Non-Individually Examined Companies” section, above.⁸

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the *Federal Register*. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies listed above will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not listed above, the cash deposit will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the LTFV investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 5.95 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.⁹ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

⁸ See section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act.

⁹ See *Order*, 81 FR at 91908.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: October 1, 2026.

Scot Fullerton,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary
for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*
- V. Discussion of Issues
 - Comment 1: Whether the Price Difference Test's Two-Percent Threshold is Appropriate
 - Comment 2: Whether to Include International Freight Expenses in Universal's U.S. Freight Revenue Cap
 - Comment 3: Whether to Remove the Cap Applied to Universal's Cutting Revenue
 - Comment 4: Whether Universal's Prime and Non-Prime Sales are Correctly Matched
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2026-20489 Filed: 10/5/2026 8:45 am; Publication Date: 10/6/2026]