



INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1443]

Certain Foreign-Fabricated Semiconductor Devices, Products Containing the Same, and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion to Terminate the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 77) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on settlement and to limit service of the settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa A. Murray, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2781. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 26, 2025, the Commission instituted the present investigation based on a complaint, as supplemented, filed by Longitude Licensing Ltd. and Marlin Semiconductor Limited, both of Dublin, Ireland (“Complainants”). 90 FR 13779-81 (Mar. 26, 2025). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain foreign-fabricated semiconductor devices, products containing the same, and components thereof, that infringe one or more of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,745,847 (“the ’847 patent”); 9,093,473

(“the ’473 patent”); 9,147,747 (“the ’747 patent”); 9,184,292 (“the ’292 patent”); and 9,953,880 (“the ’880 patent”). *Id.* The complaint also alleges that a domestic industry exists or is in the process of being established. *Id.*

The notice of investigation names the following respondents: Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Limited of Hsinchu, Taiwan; Apple of Cupertino, California; Broadcom Inc. of Palo Alto, California; Lenovo Group Limited (“LGL”) of Hong Kong S.A.R., China; Motorola (Wuhan) Mobility Technologies, Communication Company Limited of Wuhan, China; Motorola Mobile Communication, Technology Ltd. of Xiamen, China; OnePlus Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China; and Qualcomm Inc. of San Diego, California. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) has also been named as a party to this investigation.

On August 14, 2025, the Commission amended the complaint and notice of investigation to terminate respondent LGL and substitute LGL with respondents: Lenovo (Shanghai) Electronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Shanghai, China; Lenovo PC International Ltd.; Lenovo PC HK Ltd. of Quarry Bay, Hong Kong; Lenovo Information Products (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China; Lenovo Beijing Co., Ltd. of Beijing, China; and Lenovo (United States) Inc. of Morrisville, North Carolina. *See* Order No. 34 (July 21, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Aug. 14, 2025); 90 FR 40398 (Aug. 19, 2025).

The Commission previously terminated the investigation as to claims 1-5 and 7-11 of the ’847 patent; claims 3-10 of the ’473 patent; claims 1-3 and 6-7 of the ’747 patent; claims 1, 5-9, 11-15, and 17-20 of the ’292 patent; and claims 1-12 of the ’880 patent, based on withdrawal of the complaint as to those claims. *See* Order No. 36 (July 31, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Aug. 14, 2025); Order No. 47 (Nov. 19, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Dec. 15, 2025); Order No. 52 (Dec. 31, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Jan. 15, 2026); Order No. 66 (Jan. 30, 2026), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Feb. 24, 2026).

On June 26, 2026, the Commission terminated the investigation as to Apple on the basis of a settlement agreement. Order No. 71 (June 16, 2026), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (June 26, 2026).

On July 14, 2026, Complainants and respondent Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Limited (“TSMC”) filed an unopposed joint motion to terminate the investigation based upon settlement. On July 21, 2026, OUII filed a response supporting the motion.

On August 5, 2026, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 77) pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(b), 19 CFR 210.21(b), granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation. The ID finds that the joint motion complies with Commission Rule 210.21(b). Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.21(b)(1), the ALJ also found that good cause exists to limit service of the unredacted Confidential Settlement Agreements attached to the joint motion to Complainant, TSMC, and OUII. Because all remaining Respondents in this investigation are alleged direct or indirect customers of TSMC, the ID terminates the investigation in its entirety. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on September 3, 2026.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 3, 2026.

Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission