



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-469-818]

Ripe Olives from Spain: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2023

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain exporters/producers of ripe olives from Spain received countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR) January 1, 2023, through December 31, 2023.

DATES: Applicable [Insert date of publication in the *Federal Register*].

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ted Pearson or Stefan Smith, AD/CVD

Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S.

Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2631 or (202) 482-4342, respectively.

Background

On February 10, 2026, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review in the *Federal Register*.¹ From March 9, 2026, through March 13 2026, Commerce conducted verification of the data and information submitted by Agro Sevilla Aceitunas, S.Coop.And. and Angel Camacho Alimentacion, S.L., as well as their unaffiliated olive growers.² Subsequently, on March 27, 2026, we invited interested parties to comment on

¹ See *Ripe Olives from Spain: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2023*, 91 FR 5918 (February 10, 2026) (*Preliminary Results*).

² See Memorandum, “Verification of the Questionnaire Responses of Angel Camacho Alimentacion, S.L.,” dated March 24, 2026; see also Memorandum, “Verification of Agro Sevilla Aceitunas, S.Coop.And.,” dated March 23, 2026.

the *Preliminary Results* and verification.³ On June 3, 2026, Commerce extended the final results by 42 days.⁴ Accordingly, the deadline for the final results is now July 22, 2026.⁵

For a complete description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁶ The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via ACCESS. ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/frnotices>.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the *Order* are ripe olives from Spain. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by interested parties in their case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The topics discussed and the issues raised by parties to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum are listed in the appendix to this notice.

Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*

Based on our analysis of comments received from interested parties and verification findings, we made changes to our denominator calculations, applications of adverse facts available, and programs determined to be countervailable from the *Preliminary Results*.⁷ For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

³ See Memorandum, “Briefing Schedule,” dated March 27, 2026.

⁴ See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review,” dated June 3, 2026.

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Ripe Olives from Spain; 2023,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁷ See *Preliminary Results*.

Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Act. For each of the subsidy programs found to be countervailable, we determine that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.⁸ In these final results, Commerce relied, in part, on facts otherwise available, including with an adverse inference, pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act. For a complete description of the methodology underlying all of Commerce's conclusions, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of Review

Commerce determined the following net countervailable subsidy rates exist for the period January 1, 2023, through December 31, 2023:

Producer/Exporter	Subsidy Rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i>)
Agro Sevilla Aceitunas S.Coop.And.	4.80
Angel Camacho Alimentación, S.L. and its cross-owned affiliates ⁹	25.21

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations and analysis performed for these final results of review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the *Federal Register*, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), Commerce has determined, and U.S Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after publication of the final results of this review in the *Federal Register*. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not

⁸ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

⁹ Commerce found the following companies to be cross-owned with Angel Camacho Alimentación, S.L.: Grupo Angel Camacho, S.L., Cuarterola S.L., and Cucanoche S.L.

to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

In accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act, we also intend to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amounts shown above for the above-listed companies with regard to shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of these final results of review. For all non-reviewed firms subject to the *Order*, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific rate or the all-others rate (*i.e.*, 11.08 percent), as appropriate.¹⁰ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

These final results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: July 22, 2026.

Christopher Abbott,
Deputy Assistant Secretary
for Policy and Negotiations,
performing the non-exclusive functions and duties
of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

¹⁰ See *Ripe Olives from Spain: Implementation of Determination Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act*, 88 FR 3384 (January 19, 2023).

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Subsidies Valuation
- V. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences
- VI. Analysis of Programs
- VII. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Whether Commerce Should Find Two Other Subsidy Programs Countervailable
 - Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Apply Adverse Facts Available to Benefits Provided as Minor Corrections
 - Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Apply Facts Available to Additional Growers
 - Comment 4: Whether Commerce Should Assign Adverse Facts Available to Growers Who Reported No Benefits Under Certain Programs
 - Comment 5: Whether Commerce Should Correct Camacho Supplier 2's Raw Olive Sales to Olive and Olive-Derived Product Sales
 - Comment 6: Whether Commerce Should Correct the Volume for Camacho Grower 6.A for Certain Programs
 - Comment 7: Whether Commerce Should Revise the Substantial Dependence Calculation
 - Comment 8: Whether Commerce Should Revise its Facts Available Methodology for Growers that Provided Insufficient Information
- VIII. Recommendation