



International Trade Commission

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1465]

Certain Semiconductor Devices, Computing Products Containing the Same, and Components Thereof; Notice of Institution

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission

ACTION: Notice

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on November 17, 2025, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Adeia, Inc. of San Jose, California, Adeia Semiconductor Bonding Technologies, Inc. of San Jose, California, and Adeia Holdings Inc. of San Jose, California. Supplements were filed on November 26, December 4, and December 5, 2025. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain semiconductor devices, computing products containing the same, and components thereof by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 11,978,681 (“the ’681 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 12,199,069 (“the ’069 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 12,322,650 (“the ’650 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 12,381,173 (“the ’173 patent”). The complaint, as supplemented, further alleges that an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in

gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. 210.10 (2025).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on December 16, 2025, ORDERED THAT –

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1-31 of the '681 patent; claims 1-21 of the '069 patent; claims 1-30 of the '650 patent; and claims 16-24 of the '173 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “(a) AMD semiconductor devices, and specifically processors and other integrated circuits that include hybrid bonded or direct bonded structures; and (b) computing devices containing or incorporating the same AMD semiconductor devices, such as servers, desktop devices, and laptop devices”;

(3) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 C.F.R. 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties or other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(4) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

Adeia, Inc.
3025 Orchard Parkway
San Jose, CA 95134

Adeia Semiconductor Bonding Technologies, Inc.
3025 Orchard Parkway
San Jose, CA 95134

Adeia Holdings Inc.
3025 Orchard Parkway
San Jose, CA 95134

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.
2485 Augustine Drive

Santa Clara, CA 95054

Lenovo (United States) Inc.

8001 Development Drive,

Morrisville, NC 27560

Lenovo Group Limited

23rd Floor, Lincoln House,

Taikoo Place

979 King's Road

Quarry Bay, Hong Kong SAR

Lenovo Information Products (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.

2F, No. 1 Plant,

Lenovo Innovation Park, Lidu Road,

Loucun Community, Xihu Street,

Guangming District,

Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518000

China

Super Micro Computer, Inc.

980 Rock Ave.

San Jose, CA 95131

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade
Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Suite 401, Washington, D.C. 20436; and

(5) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. 210.13. Pursuant to 19 C.F.R. 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 16, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.