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Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request;
Tradeoff Analysis of Prescription Drug Product Claims in Direct-to-Consumer and
Healthcare Provider Promotion

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA, Agency, or we) is announcing an opportunity for public comment on the proposed collection of certain information by the Agency. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Federal Agencies are required to publish notice in the Federal Register concerning each proposed collection of information and to allow 60 days for public comment in response to the notice. This notice solicits comments on research entitled “Tradeoff Analysis of Prescription Drug Product Claims in Direct-to-Consumer and Healthcare Provider Promotion.”

DATES: Submit either electronic or written comments on the collection of information by [INSERT DATE 60 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments as follows. Please note that late, untimely filed comments will not be considered. Electronic comments must be submitted on or before [INSERT DATE 60 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER]. The https://www.regulations.gov electronic filing system will accept comments until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time at the end of [INSERT DATE 60 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER]. Comments received by mail/hand
delivery/courier (for written/paper submissions) will be considered timely if they are postmarked or the delivery service acceptance receipt is on or before that date.

*Electronic Submissions*

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: https://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Comments submitted electronically, including attachments, to https://www.regulations.gov will be posted to the docket unchanged. Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for ensuring that your comment does not include any confidential information that you or a third party may not wish to be posted, such as medical information, your or anyone else’s Social Security number, or confidential business information, such as a manufacturing process. Please note that if you include your name, contact information, or other information that identifies you in the body of your comments, that information will be posted on https://www.regulations.gov.

- If you want to submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made available to the public, submit the comment as a written/paper submission and in the manner detailed (see “Written/Paper Submissions” and “Instructions”).

*Written/Paper Submissions*

Submit written/paper submissions as follows:

- Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for written/paper submissions): Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

- For written/paper comments submitted to the Dockets Management Staff, FDA will post your comment, as well as any attachments, except for information submitted, marked and identified, as confidential, if submitted as detailed in “Instructions.”
Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket No. FDA-2022-N-0081 for “Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Tradeoff Analysis of Prescription Drug Product Claims in Direct-to-Consumer and Healthcare Provider Promotion.” Received comments, those filed in a timely manner (see ADDRESSES), will be placed in the docket and, except for those submitted as “Confidential Submissions,” publicly viewable at https://www.regulations.gov or at the Dockets Management Staff between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, 240-402-7500.

- Confidential Submissions--To submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made publicly available, submit your comments only as a written/paper submission. You should submit two copies total. One copy will include the information you claim to be confidential with a heading or cover note that states “THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.” The Agency will review this copy, including the claimed confidential information, in its consideration of comments. The second copy, which will have the claimed confidential information redacted/blacked out, will be available for public viewing and posted on https://www.regulations.gov. Submit both copies to the Dockets Management Staff. If you do not wish your name and contact information to be made publicly available, you can provide this information on the cover sheet and not in the body of your comments and you must identify this information as “confidential.” Any information marked as “confidential” will not be disclosed except in accordance with 21 CFR 10.20 and other applicable disclosure law. For more information about FDA’s posting of comments to public dockets, see 80 FR 56469, September 18, 2015, or access the information at: https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2015-09-18/pdf/2015-23389.pdf.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or the electronic and written/paper comments received, go to https://www.regulations.gov and insert the docket number, found in brackets in the heading of this document, into the “Search” box and follow the
prompts and/or go to the Dockets Management Staff, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852, 240-402-7500.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Regarding the information collection: Jonna Capezzuto, Office of Operations, Food and Drug Administration, Three White Flint North, 10A-12M, 11601 Landsdown St., North Bethesda, MD 20852, 301-796-3794, PRASStaff@fda.hhs.gov. For copies of the questionnaire: Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP) Research Team, DTCresearch@fda.hhs.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501-3521), Federal Agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. “Collection of information” is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3(c) and includes Agency requests or requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)) requires Federal Agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the *Federal Register* concerning each proposed collection of information before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, FDA is publishing notice of the proposed collection of information set forth in this document.

With respect to the following collection of information, FDA invites comments on these topics: (1) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of FDA’s functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of FDA’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology.
I. Background

Section 1701(a)(4) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300u(a)(4)) authorizes FDA to conduct research relating to health information. Section 1003(d)(2)(C) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 393(d)(2)(C)) authorizes FDA to conduct research relating to drugs and other FDA-regulated products in carrying out the provisions of the FD&C Act.

The Office of Prescription Drug Promotion’s (OPDP) mission is to protect the public health by helping to ensure that prescription drug promotion is truthful, balanced, and accurately communicated. OPDP’s research program provides scientific evidence to help ensure that our policies related to prescription drug promotion will have the greatest benefit to public health. Toward that end, we have consistently conducted research to evaluate the aspects of prescription drug promotion that are most central to our mission. Our research focuses in particular on three main topic areas: advertising features, including content and format; target populations; and research quality. Through the evaluation of advertising features, we assess how elements such as graphics, format, and disease and product characteristics impact the communication and understanding of prescription drug risks and benefits. Focusing on target populations allows us to evaluate how understanding of prescription drug risks and benefits may vary as a function of audience, and our focus on research quality aims at maximizing the quality of research data through analytical methodology development and investigation of sampling and response issues. This study will inform the first and second topic areas, advertising features and target populations.

Because we recognize that the strength of data and the confidence in the robust nature of the findings are improved by using the results of multiple converging studies, we continue to
The proposed research examines the relative importance of prescription drug product information such as prescription drug efficacy, risk, adherence, and patient preference claims in two medical conditions (type 2 diabetes and psoriasis) in consumer and physician samples. When confronted with an important decision, people tend to make choices that reflect a series of tradeoffs between certain desirable and undesirable attributes of a product, service, or experience. Pharmaceutical manufacturers provide information about prescription drug products, including side effects, contraindications, and effectiveness through product labeling and promotional materials (21 CFR 202.1(e)). The treatment preferences of diagnosed consumers and treating physicians have been shown to be influenced by certain characteristics, such as the perceived drug’s impact on quality of life, complexity of dosage regimens, mode of administration, cost to family and self, and marketing claims unrelated to medicinal properties (Refs. 1 to 5). Although diagnosed consumers may weigh the risks, benefits, or other salient characteristics of prescription drug products differently than physicians, little research directly compares the treatment preferences of these two groups (Ref. 6). Understanding the tradeoffs among drug product characteristics diagnosed consumers make--and how the tradeoffs could potentially differ from the tradeoffs made by physicians--will provide valuable insight into the relevance and impact of various product attributes and promotional claims on informed choices and treatment decisions.
We intend to examine these tradeoffs using a choice-based conjoint analysis, also known as a discrete choice experiment. Conjoint analysis is a broad class of survey-based techniques used to estimate how attractive or influential different features of choice options or product attributes are in determining purchase behavior or treatment choices (Ref. 7). Conjoint analysis can be used to examine the joint effects and tradeoffs of multiple variables or product attributes on decisions. A choice-based conjoint analysis is based on the principle that products are composed of a set of attributes, and each attribute can be described using a finite number of levels. In the proposed research, participants will be shown a carefully designed sequence of choice tasks containing up to five hypothetical product attributes—in this case, profiles describing fictitious prescription drug products for either type 2 diabetes or psoriasis. Using data from the choices that participants make across these tasks, we can use statistical techniques to draw inferences about the relative value they place on different product attributes, estimate the relative importance of different attributes, explore the tradeoffs that consumers and physicians are willing to make to avoid or accept specific attribute levels, and compare the preferences of these two groups (Ref. 8).

We estimate that participation in the study will take approximately 20 minutes. Adult participants aged 18 years or older will be recruited by email through an internet panel, and participant eligibility will be determined with a screener at the beginning of the online survey. The consumer sample will consist of adults who self-report as having been diagnosed by a healthcare provider with either psoriasis or type 2 diabetes. For the consumer sample, we will exclude individuals who work in healthcare settings because their knowledge and experiences may not reflect those of the average consumer. The physician sample will consist of primary care physicians and specialists who report treating patients with psoriasis or type 2 diabetes. For the physician sample, we will exclude individuals who spend less than 50 percent of their time on direct patient care. Department of Health and Human Services employees and individuals who work in the marketing, advertising, or pharmaceutical industries will be excluded from both
respondent groups. Respondents will receive a survey invitation with a unique password protected link. All panel members are recruited following a double opt-in process. Sample sizes were estimated by combining approaches for conjoint analysis suggested by Orme (Ref. 9) and Johnson et al. (Ref. 10).

The target sample size for the main study is 800 physicians and 800 consumers, with half of each cohort focusing on treatments for psoriasis and the other half focusing on treatments for type 2 diabetes. Prior to conducting the main study, we will conduct at least one pretest. If the first pretest reveals that changes to the measurement instruments, stimuli, or procedures are required, a second pretest will be conducted with revised materials. The target sample size for each wave of pretests is 60 physicians and 60 consumers.

FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

Table 1.--Estimated Annual Reporting Burden

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>No. of Responses per Respondent</th>
<th>Total Annual Responses</th>
<th>Average Burden per Response</th>
<th>Total Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pretest 1 Screener, Physicians</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.08 (5 minutes)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretest 1 Screener, Consumers</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.08 (5 minutes)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician Pretest 1</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.33 (20 minutes)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Pretest 1</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.33 (20 minutes)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretest 2 Screener, Physicians</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.08 (5 minutes)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretest 2 Screener, Consumers</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.08 (5 minutes)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician Pretest 2</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.33 (20 minutes)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Pretest 2</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.33 (20 minutes)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician Main Study Screener</td>
<td>1,258</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,258</td>
<td>0.08 (5 minutes)</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician Main Study</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>0.33 (20 minutes)</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Main Study Screener</td>
<td>1,258</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,258</td>
<td>0.08 (5 minutes)</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Main Study</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>0.33 (20 minutes)</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.
2 As with most online and mail surveys, it is always possible that some participants are in the process of completing the survey when the target number is reached and that those surveys will be completed and received before the
survey is closed out. To account for this, we have estimated approximately 10 percent overage for both samples in the study.

3 Burden estimates of less than 1 hour are expressed as a fraction of an hour in decimal format.
4 Number of screener respondents assumes a 70 percent eligibility rate with targeted recruitment.
5 Pretest 2 will be conducted only if changes to study materials are made in response to the findings of Pretest 1.
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Dated: April 19, 2022.

Lauren K. Roth,
Associate Commissioner for Policy.
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