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Certain Uncoated Paper from Portugal: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018-2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain uncoated paper (uncoated paper) from Portugal was sold in the United States at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) March 1, 2018 through February 28, 2019.

DATES: Applicable [INSERT DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 2, 2020, Commerce published the Preliminary Results. On April 24, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by 50 days. On July 21, 2020, Commerce tolled all deadlines in administrative reviews by an additional 60 days. Commerce extended the deadline for the final results further by 60 days on October 23, 2020. The deadline

---

for the final results of this review is now January 19, 2021. For a complete description of the
events that occurred since the Preliminary Results, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.5

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain uncoated paper products from Portugal.
For a full description of the scope, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision
Memorandum. A list of the issues that parties raised and to which we responded in the Issues
and Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision
Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and
Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System
(ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov. In addition, a
complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at
and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties, we made
the following changes to the Preliminary Results:

- We recalculated the amount of certain post-sale price adjustments reported for The
  Navigator Company, S.A.’s (Navigator’s) home market sales.6
- We also recalculated certain adjustments to Navigator’s costs.7

Final Results of the Review

---

5 See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2018-2019 Administrative
Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Uncoated Paper from Portugal,” dated concurrently with and
hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).
6 See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 2.
7 Id. at Comment 4.
Commerce determines that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period March 1, 2018 through February 28, 2019:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter/Producer</th>
<th>Weighted-Average Dumping Margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Navigator Company, S.A.</td>
<td>6.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assessment Rate**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.

Because Navigator’s weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), Commerce has calculated importer-specific antidumping duty assessment rates. We calculated importer-specific antidumping duty assessment rates by aggregating the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales of each importer and dividing each of these amounts by the total sales value associated with those sales. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For entries of subject merchandise during the period of review produced by Navigator for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.\(^8\)

Consistent with its recent notice,\(^9\) Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the *Federal Register*. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the

---

\(^8\) For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for Navigator will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 7.80 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

**Administrative Protective Order**

---

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5) and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).


Jeffrey I. Kessler,
Assistant Secretary
for Enforcement and Compliance.
Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Order
IV. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
V. Discussion of the Issues
   Comment 1: Whether Commerce Should Use an Alternative Market Price for Calculating the Cost of Pulp
   Comment 2: Whether and How to Cap “Bonus” Rebates
   Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Grant Navigator a Constructed Export Price (CEP) Offset
   Comment 4: Whether Commerce Made Ministerial Errors Affecting the Reclassification of Certain Costs

VI. Recommendation
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