DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping duty (AD) and countervailing duty (CVD) orders and findings with October anniversary dates. In accordance with Commerce’s regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews.

DATES: Applicable [Insert date of publication in the Federal Register].


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), for administrative reviews of various AD and CVD orders and findings with October anniversary dates.

All deadlines for the submission of various types of information, certifications, or comments or actions by Commerce discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting time.

Notice of No Sales
If a producer or exporter named in this notice of initiation had no exports, sales, or entries during the period of review (POR), it must notify Commerce within 30 days of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. All submissions must be filed electronically at https://access.trade.gov in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303.¹ Such submissions are subject to verification in accordance with section 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(1)(i), a copy must be served on every party on Commerce’s service list.

Respondent Selection

In the event Commerce limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, Commerce intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data for U.S. imports during the POR. We intend to place the CBP data on the record within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 30 days of publication of the initiation Federal Register notice. Comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection should be submitted within seven days after the placement of the CBP data on the record of this review. Parties wishing to submit rebuttal comments should submit those comments within five days after the deadline for the initial comments.

In the event Commerce decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act, the following guidelines regarding collapsing of companies for purposes of respondent selection will apply. In general, Commerce has found that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be “collapsed” (e.g., treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require

follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, Commerce will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of this review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this AD proceeding (e.g., investigation, administrative review, new shipper review, or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to this review, if Commerce determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, Commerce will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, Commerce will not collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete the Quantity and Value (Q&V) Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general, each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding where Commerce considered collapsing that entity, complete Q&V data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that has requested a review may withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that Commerce may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. Determinations by Commerce to extend the 90-day deadline will be made on a case-by-case basis.

Deadline for Particular Market Situation Allegation

Section 504 of the Trade Preferences Extension Act of 2015 amended the Act by adding the concept of a particular market situation (PMS) for purposes of constructed value under
Section 773(e) of the Act states that “if a particular market situation exists such that the cost of materials and fabrication or other processing of any kind does not accurately reflect the cost of production in the ordinary course of trade, the administering authority may use another calculation methodology under this subtitle or any other calculation methodology.” When an interested party submits a PMS allegation pursuant to section 773(e) of the Act, Commerce will respond to such a submission consistent with 19 CFR 351.301(c)(2)(v). If Commerce finds that a PMS exists under section 773(e) of the Act, then it will modify its dumping calculations appropriately.

Neither section 773(e) of the Act nor 19 CFR 351.301(c)(2)(v) set a deadline for the submission of PMS allegations and supporting factual information. However, in order to administer section 773(e) of the Act, Commerce must receive PMS allegations and supporting factual information with enough time to consider the submission. Thus, should an interested party wish to submit a PMS allegation and supporting new factual information pursuant to section 773(e) of the Act, it must do so no later than 20 days after submission of initial responses to section D of the questionnaire.

Separate Rates

In proceedings involving non-market economy (NME) countries, Commerce begins with a rebuttable presumption that all companies within the country are subject to government control and, thus, should be assigned a single antidumping duty deposit rate. It is Commerce’s policy to assign all exporters of merchandise subject to an administrative review in an NME country this single rate unless an exporter can demonstrate that it is sufficiently independent so as to be entitled to a separate rate.

To establish whether a firm is sufficiently independent from government control of its export activities to be entitled to a separate rate, Commerce analyzes each entity exporting the subject merchandise. In accordance with the separate rates criteria, Commerce assigns separate

---

rates to companies in NME cases only if respondents can demonstrate the absence of both *de jure* and *de facto* government control over export activities.

All firms listed below that wish to qualify for separate rate status in the administrative reviews involving NME countries must complete, as appropriate, either a separate rate application or certification, as described below. For these administrative reviews, in order to demonstrate separate rate eligibility, Commerce requires entities for whom a review was requested, that were assigned a separate rate in the most recent segment of this proceeding in which they participated, to certify that they continue to meet the criteria for obtaining a separate rate. The Separate Rate Certification form will be available on Commerce’s website at https://enforcement.trade.gov/nme/nme-sep-rate.html on the date of publication of this *Federal Register* notice. In responding to the certification, please follow the “Instructions for Filing the Certification” in the Separate Rate Certification. Separate Rate Certifications are due to Commerce no later than 30 calendar days after publication of this *Federal Register* notice. The deadline and requirement for submitting a Certification applies equally to NME-owned firms, wholly foreign-owned firms, and foreign sellers who purchase and export subject merchandise to the United States.

Entities that currently do not have a separate rate from a completed segment of the proceeding\(^3\) should timely file a Separate Rate Application to demonstrate eligibility for a separate rate in this proceeding. In addition, companies that received a separate rate in a completed segment of the proceeding that have subsequently made changes, including, but not limited to, changes to corporate structure, acquisitions of new companies or facilities, or changes to their official company name,\(^4\) should timely file a Separate Rate Application to demonstrate eligibility for a separate rate in this proceeding. The Separate Rate Application will be available

---

\(^3\) Such entities include entities that have not participated in the proceeding, entities that were preliminarily granted a separate rate in any currently incomplete segment of the proceeding (e.g., an ongoing administrative review, new shipper review, etc.) and entities that lost their separate rate in the most recently completed segment of the proceeding in which they participated.

\(^4\) Only changes to the official company name, rather than trade names, need to be addressed via a Separate Rate Application. Information regarding new trade names may be submitted via a Separate Rate Certification.
on Commerce’s website at https://enforcement.trade.gov/nme/nme-sep-rate.html on the date of publication of this Federal Register notice. In responding to the Separate Rate Application, refer to the instructions contained in the application. Separate Rate Applications are due to Commerce no later than 30 calendar days after publication of this Federal Register notice. The deadline and requirement for submitting a Separate Rate Application applies equally to NME-owned firms, wholly foreign-owned firms, and foreign sellers that purchase and export subject merchandise to the United States.

For exporters and producers who submit a Separate Rate Application or Certification and subsequently are selected as mandatory respondents, these exporters and producers will no longer be eligible for separate rate status unless they respond to all parts of the questionnaire as mandatory respondents.

INITIATION OF REVIEWS:

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we are initiating administrative reviews of the following AD and CVD orders and findings. We intend to issue the final results of these reviews not later than October 31, 2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AD Proceedings</th>
<th>Period to be Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUSTRALIA: Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products</td>
<td>10/1/19 - 9/30/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-602-809</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BlueScope Steel, Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAZIL: Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products</td>
<td>10/1/19 - 9/30/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-351-845</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aperam Inox America Do Sul S.A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ArcelorMittal Brasil S.A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Commerce found that BlueScope Steel (AIS) Pty Ltd. and BlueScope Steel Distribution Pty Ltd. are affiliated with BlueScope Steel, Ltd., the company for which a review was requested, as such, is initiating this review with respect to all three companies. See Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Australia: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination, 81 FR 15241 (March 22, 2016), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 8; unchanged in Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Australia: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 81 FR 53406, 53407 (August 12, 2016), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.
INDIA: Stainless Steel Flanges
A-533-877 10/1/19 - 9/30/20

Ae Engineers & Exporters
Arien Global
Armstrong International Pvt. Ltd.
Avini Metal Limited
Balkrishna Steel Forge Pvt. Ltd
Bebitz Flanges Works Pvt. Ltd.
Bee Gee Enterprises
BFN Forgings Private Limited (formerly Bebitz Flanges Works Private Limited)
Broadway Overseas Ltd.
Bsl Freight Solutions Pvt., Ltd.
CD Industries (Prop. Kisaan Engineering Works Pvt. Ltd.)
Chandan Steel Limited
Cipriani Harrison Valves Pvt. Ltd.
CTL Logistics (India) Pvt. Ltd.
Dongguan Good Luck Furniture Industrial Co., Ltd
DSV Air and Sea Pvt. Ltd.
DSV Logistics
Echjay Forgings Pvt. Ltd.
Fivebros Forgings Pvt. Ltd.
Fluid Controls Pvt. Ltd.
G.I. Auto Pvt. Ltd.
Geodis Oversea Pvt., Ltd.
Globelink WW India Pvt., Ltd.
Good Luck Engineering Co.
Goodluck India Ltd.
Hilton Metal Forging Limited
Jai Auto Pvt. Ltd
Jay Jagdamba Forgings Private Limited
Jay Jagdamba Limited
Jay Jagdamba Ltd.
Jay Jagdamba Profile Private Limited
Katariya Steel Distributors
Kisaan Die Tech
Kunj Forgings Pvt. Ltd.
Lotus CNC Components
Montane Shipping Pvt., Ltd.
Motor Aids
Noble Shipping Pvt. Ltd.
Paramount Forge
Pashupati Ispat Pvt. Ltd.
Pashupati Tradex Pvt., Ltd.
Peekay Steel Castings Pvt. Ltd.
Pradeep Metals Ltd.
R D Forge Pvt., Ltd.
Rolex Fittings India Pvt. Ltd.
Rollwell Forge Pvt. Ltd.
Safewater Lines (I) Pvt. Ltd.
Saini Flange Pvt. Ltd.
SAR Transport Systems
Shilpan Steelcast Pvt. Ltd.
Shree Jay Jagdamba Flanges Private Limited
Teamglobal Logistics Pvt. Ltd.
Technical Products Corporation
Technocraft Industries India Ltd.
Transworld Enterprises
Transworld Global Logistics Solutions (India) Pvt. Ltd.
Transworld Group
VEEYES Engineering Pvt. Ltd.
Viraj Profiles Ltd.
Vishal Shipping Agencies Pvt. Ltd.
Yusen Logistics (India) Pvt. Ltd.

JAPAN: Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products
A-588-874 10/1/19 - 9/30/20

HANWA Co., Ltd.
Higuchi Manufacturing America, LLC
Higuchi Seisakusho Co., Ltd.
Hitachi Metals, Ltd.
Honda Trading Canada, Inc.
JFE Steel Corporation
JFE Shoji Trade America
JFE Shoji Trade Corporation
Kanematsu Corporation
Kobe Steel, Ltd.
Metal One Corporation
Mitsui & Co., Ltd.
Miyama Industry Co., Ltd.
Nakagawa Special Steel Inc.
Nippon Steel & Sumikin Bussan Corporation
Nippon Steel & Sumikin Logistics Co., Ltd.
Nippon Steel & Sumitomo Metal Corporation
Nippon Steel Corporation
Nippon Steel Trading Corporation (formerly Nippon Steel & Sumikin Bussan Corporation)
Nisshin Steel Co., Ltd.
OKAYA & Co., Ltd.
Panasonic Corporation
Saint-Gobain K.K.
Shinsho Corporation
Sumitomo Corporation
Suzukaku Co., Ltd.
Suzukaku Corporation
Tokyo Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
Toyota Tsusho Corporation Nagoya

MEXICO: Refillable Stainless Steel Kegs
A-201-849 10/9/19 – 9/30/20

Cerveceria Cuauhtemoc Moctezuma S.A. de C.V.
Europartners Mexico S.A. de C.V.
Grupo de Intercambio Comercial S.A. de C.V
Thielmann Mexico S.A. de C.V.

MEXICO: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod
A-201-830 10/1/19 - 9/30/20

ArcelorMittal Las Truchas, S.A. de C.V.
Deacero S.A.P.I. de C.V.
Grupo Villacero S.A. de C.V.
Talleres y Aceros S.A. de C.V.
Ternium Mexico S.A. de C.V.

REPUBLIC OF KOREA: Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products
A-580-883 10/1/19 - 9/30/20

Dongkuk Industries Co., Ltd.
Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd.
Hyundai Steel Company
KG Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd.
Marubeni-Itochu Steel Korea, Ltd.
POSCO
POSCO Daewoo Corporation
Snp Ltd.
Soon Hong Trading Co.
Sungjin Co., Ltd.

THAILAND: Glycine
A-549-837 8/5/19 - 9/30/20

Newtrend Food Ingredient (Thailand) Co., Ltd.

THE NETHERLANDS: Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products
A-421-813 10/1/19 - 9/30/20

Tata Steel Ijmuiden BV

TURKEY: Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products
A-489-826 10/1/19 - 9/30/20
In the final determination, Commerce continued to find that Colakoglu Metalurji A.S. and Colakoglu Dis Ticaret A.S. are a single entity—Colakoglu. See Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Turkey: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 81 FR 53428 (August 12, 2016). Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Turkey produced and exported by Colakoglu were excluded from the order effective May 15, 2020. See Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Turkey: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with the Amended Final Determination in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation; Notice of Amended Final Determination, Amended Antidumping Duty Order, Notice of Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order in Part; and Discontinuation of the 2017-18 and 2018-19 Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, in Part, 85 FR 29399 (May 15, 2020). Accordingly, we are initiating this administrative review with respect to Colakoglu only for Certain Hot-Rolled Flat Products produced in Turkey where Colakoglu acted as either the manufacturer or exporter (but not both).

7 In the initiation notice that published on September 3, 2020 (85 FR 54983) Commerce inadvertently listed the wrong period of review for the referenced case above. The correct POR is listed in this notice.
Arien Metals Private Limited
Armstrong International Pvt. Ltd.
Avini Metal Limited
Balkrishna Steel Forge Pvt. Ltd.
Bebitz Flanges Works Pvt. Ltd.
Bee Gee Enterprises
BFN Forgings Private Limited
BSL Freight Solutions Pvt., Ltd.
CD Industries (Prop. Kisaan Engineering Works Pvt. Ltd.)
Chandan Steel Limited
Cipriani Harrison Valves Pvt. Ltd.
CTL Logistics (India) Pvt. Ltd.
Dongguan Good Luck Furniture Industrial Co., Ltd.
DSV Air and Sea Pvt. Ltd.
DSV Logistics
Echjay Forgings Pvt. Ltd.
Fivebros Forgings Pvt. Ltd.
Fluid Controls Pvt. Ltd.
Geodis Oversea Pvt., Ltd.
Globelink WW India Pvt., Ltd.
Good Luck Engineering Co.
Goodluck India Ltd.
Hilton Metal Forging Limited
Jai Auto Pvt. Ltd.
Jay Jagdamba Limited
Jay Jagdamba Profile Private Limited
Jay Jagdamba Forgings Private Limited
Katariya Steel Distributors
Kisaan Die Tech Pvt. Ltd.
Kunj Forgings Pvt. Ltd.
Montane Shipping Pvt., Ltd.
Noble Shipping Pvt. Ltd.
Paramount Forge
Pashupati Ispat Pvt. Ltd.
Pashupati Tradex Pvt., Ltd.
Peekay Steel Castings Pvt. Ltd.
Pradeep Metals Ltd.
R D Forge Pvt., Ltd.
Rolex Fittings India Pvt. Ltd.
Rollwell Forge Pvt. Ltd.
Safewater Lines (I) Pvt. Ltd.
Saini Flange Pvt. Ltd.
SAR Transport Systems
Shilpan Steelcast Pvt. Ltd.
Shree Jay Jagdamba Flanges Private Limited
Teamglobal Logistics Pvt. Ltd.
Technical Products
Technical Products Corporation
Technocraft Industries India Ltd.
Transworld Enterprises
Transworld Global Logistics Solutions (India) Pvt. Ltd.
Transworld Group
Suspension Agreements

None

Duty Absorption Reviews

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an AD order under 19 CFR 351.211 or a determination under 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), Commerce, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine whether AD duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

8 This company may also be referenced as “Hyundai Steel Co., Ltd.”
Gap Period Liquidation

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant “gap” period of the order (i.e., the period following the expiry of provisional measures and before definitive measures were put into place), if such a gap period is applicable to the POR.

Administrative Protective Orders and Letters of Appearance

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with the procedures outlined in Commerce’s regulations at 19 CFR 351.305. Those procedures apply to administrative reviews included in this notice of initiation. Parties wishing to participate in any of these administrative reviews should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of separate letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

Factual Information Requirements

Commerce’s regulations identify five categories of factual information in 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21), which are summarized as follows: (i) evidence submitted in response to questionnaires; (ii) evidence submitted in support of allegations; (iii) publicly available information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c) or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2); (iv) evidence placed on the record by Commerce; and (v) evidence other than factual information described in (i)–(iv). These regulations require any party, when submitting factual information, to specify under which subsection of 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) the information is being submitted and, if the information is submitted to rebut, clarify, or correct factual information already on the record, to provide an explanation identifying the information already on the record that the factual information seeks to rebut, clarify, or correct. The regulations, at 19 CFR 351.301, also provide specific time limits for such factual submissions based on the type of factual information being submitted. Please review the Final
Rule, available at https://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/2013/1304frn/2013-08227.txt, prior to submitting factual information in this segment. Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.10

Any party submitting factual information in an AD or CVD proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information using the formats provided at the end of the Final Rule.11 Commerce intends to reject factual submissions in any proceeding segments if the submitting party does not comply with applicable certification requirements.

Extension of Time Limits Regulation

Parties may request an extension of time limits before a time limit established under Part 351 expires, or as otherwise specified by Commerce.12 In general, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after the time limit established under Part 351 expires. For submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after 10:00 a.m. on the due date. Examples include, but are not limited to: (1) case and rebuttal briefs, filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309; (2) factual information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c), or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2), filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3) and rebuttal, clarification and correction filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(iv); (3) comments concerning the selection of a surrogate country and surrogate values and rebuttal; (4) comments concerning CBP data; and (5) Q&V questionnaires. Under certain circumstances, Commerce may elect to specify a different time limit by which extension requests will be considered untimely for submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously. In such a case, Commerce will inform

---

9 See Certification of Factual Information To Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings, 78 FR 42678 (July 17, 2013) (Final Rule); see also the frequently asked questions regarding the Final Rule, available at https://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual_info_final_rule_FAQ_07172013.pdf.

10 See Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

11 See section 782(b) of the Act; see also Final Rule; and the frequently asked questions regarding the Final Rule, available at https://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual_info_final_rule_FAQ_07172013.pdf.

12 See 19 CFR 351.302.
parties in the letter or memorandum setting forth the deadline (including a specified time) by which extension requests must be filed to be considered timely. This policy also requires that an extension request must be made in a separate, stand-alone submission, and clarifies the circumstances under which Commerce will grant untimely-filed requests for the extension of time limits. Please review the Final Rule, available at https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-20/html/2013-22853.htm, prior to submitting factual information in these segments.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 USC 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).


James Maeder,
Deputy Assistant Secretary
for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.
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