



BILLING CODE: 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-122]

Certain Corrosion Inhibitors from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Applicable February 25, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lochard Philozin or Nicholas Czajkowski,

AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington,

DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4260 or (202) 482-1395, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Petition

On February 5, 2020, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) received an antidumping duty (AD) petition (Petition) concerning imports of certain corrosion inhibitors (corrosion inhibitors) from the People's Republic of China (China), filed in proper form on behalf of Wincom Incorporated (the petitioner). The AD Petition was accompanied by a countervailing duty (CVD) Petition concerning imports of corrosion inhibitors from China.<sup>1</sup>

On February 10 and February 11, 2020, Commerce requested supplemental information pertaining to certain aspects of the Petition.<sup>2</sup> The petitioner filed responses to these requests on

---

<sup>1</sup> See Petitioner's Letter, "Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping and Countervailing Duties on Certain Corrosion Inhibitors from China," dated February 5, 2020 (the Petitions).

<sup>2</sup> See Commerce's Letter, "Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties on Imports of

February 14, 2020.<sup>3</sup> On February 21, 2020, Commerce received comments on industry support from SUEZ WTS USA Inc. (Suez), an importer of the subject merchandise.<sup>4</sup> The petitioner responded to Suez’s industry support comments on February 24, 2020.<sup>5</sup>

In accordance with section 732(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the petitioner alleges that imports of corrosion inhibitors from China are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less-than-fair value (LTFV) within the meaning of section 731 of the Act, and that such imports are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, the domestic industry producing corrosion inhibitors in the United States. Consistent with section 732(b)(1) of the Act, the Petition is accompanied by information reasonably available to the petitioner supporting its allegations.

Commerce finds that the petitioner filed this Petition on behalf of the domestic industry, because the petitioner is an interested party, as defined in section 771(9)(C) of the Act. Commerce also finds that the petitioner demonstrated sufficient industry support with respect to the initiation of the requested AD investigation.<sup>6</sup>

---

Certain Corrosion Inhibitors from the People’s Republic of China: Supplemental Questions,” dated February 11, 2020 (General Issues Supplemental Questionnaire); *see also* Commerce’s Letter, “Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping and Countervailing Duties on Imports of Certain Corrosion Inhibitors from the People’s Republic of China: Supplemental Questions,” dated February 10, 2020.

<sup>3</sup> *See* Petitioner’s Letter, “Corrosion Inhibitors from the People’s Republic of China: Responses to Supplemental Questions (Volume I),” dated February 14, 2020 (General Issues Supplement); *see also* Petitioner’s Letter, “Corrosion Inhibitors from the People’s Republic of China: Responses to Supplemental Questions (Volume II),” dated February 14, 2020 (AD Supplement).

<sup>4</sup> *See* Suez’s Letter, “Certain Corrosion Inhibitors from the People’s Republic of China: Industry Support Comments on the Petitions for Antidumping and Countervailing Duties and Request to Poll Industry,” dated February 21, 2020.

<sup>5</sup> *See* Petitioner’s Letter, “Certain Corrosion Inhibitors from the People’s Republic of China: Petitioner’s Response to Comments From SUEZ WTS USA, Inc. Regarding Industry Support,” dated February 24, 2020.

<sup>6</sup> *See* “Determination of Industry Support for the Petition” section, *infra*.

### Period of Investigation

Because China is a non-market economy (NME) country, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.204(b)(1), and because the Petition was filed on February 5, 2020, the period of investigation (POI) is July 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019.

### Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by this investigation is corrosion inhibitors from China. For a full description of the scope of this investigation, *see* the Appendix to this notice.

### Comments on Scope of the Investigation

Commerce requested further information from the petitioner regarding the proposed scope to ensure that the scope language in the Petition is an accurate reflection of the products for which the domestic industry is seeking relief.<sup>7</sup> As a result, the petitioner modified the scope of the Petition to clarify the description of the merchandise covered by the Petition.<sup>8</sup> The description of the merchandise covered by this investigation, as described in the Appendix to this notice, reflects these clarifications.

As discussed in the *Preamble* to Commerce's regulations, we are setting aside a period for interested parties to raise issues regarding product coverage (scope).<sup>9</sup> Commerce will consider all comments received from interested parties and, if necessary, will consult with interested parties prior to the issuance of the preliminary determination. If scope comments include factual information,<sup>10</sup> all such factual information should be limited to public information. To facilitate preparation of its questionnaires, Commerce requests that all interested parties submit scope comments by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time (ET) on March 16, 2020, which is 20

---

<sup>7</sup> *See* General Issues Supplemental Questionnaire at 3.

<sup>8</sup> *See* General Issues Supplement at 2-4 and at Exhibit I-S1.

<sup>9</sup> *See Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties*, 62 FR 27296, 27323 (May 19, 1997).

<sup>10</sup> *See* 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) (defining "factual information").

calendar days from the signature date of this notice. Any rebuttal comments, which may include factual information, must be filed by 5:00 p.m. ET on March 26, 2020, which is 10 calendar days from the initial comment deadline.<sup>11</sup>

Commerce requests that any factual information the parties consider relevant to the scope of the investigation be submitted during this time period. However, if a party subsequently finds that additional factual information pertaining to the scope of the investigation may be relevant, the party may contact Commerce and request permission to submit the additional information. All such comments must be filed on the record of the concurrent AD and CVD investigations.

#### Filing Requirements

All submissions to Commerce must be filed electronically using Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS).<sup>12</sup> An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the time and date it is due. Documents exempted from the electronic submission requirements must be filed manually (*i.e.*, in paper form) with Enforcement and Compliance's APO/Dockets Unit, Room 18022, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, and stamped with the date and time of receipt by the applicable deadlines.

---

<sup>11</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303(b).

<sup>12</sup> See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures*, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011); see also *Enforcement and Compliance; Change of Electronic Filing System Name*, 79 FR 69046 (November 20, 2014) for details of Commerce's electronic filing requirements, effective August 5, 2011. Information on using ACCESS can be found at <https://access.trade.gov/help.aspx> and a handbook can be found at <https://access.trade.gov/help/Handbook%20on%20Electronic%20Filing%20Procedures.pdf>.

### Comments on Product Characteristics for AD Questionnaires

Commerce is providing interested parties an opportunity to comment on the appropriate physical characteristics of corrosion inhibitors to be reported in response to Commerce's AD questionnaire. This information will be used to identify the key physical characteristics of the subject merchandise in order to report the relevant factors of production (FOPs) accurately, as well as to develop appropriate product-comparison criteria.

Interested parties may provide any information or comments that they believe are relevant to the development of an accurate list of physical characteristics. In order to consider the suggestions of interested parties in developing and issuing the AD questionnaire, all comments must be filed by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time (ET) on March 16, 2020, which is 20 calendar days from the signature date of this notice. Any rebuttal comments, which may include factual information, must be filed by 5:00 p.m. ET on March 26, 2020, which is 10 calendar days from the initial comment deadline.<sup>13</sup> All comments and submissions to Commerce must be filed electronically using ACCESS, as explained above, on the record of this AD investigation.

### Determination of Industry Support for the Petition

Section 732(b)(1) of the Act requires that a petition be filed on behalf of the domestic industry. Section 732(c)(4)(A) of the Act provides that a petition meets this requirement if the domestic producers or workers who support the petition account for: (i) at least 25 percent of the total production of the domestic like product; and (ii) more than 50 percent of the production of the domestic like product produced by that portion of the industry expressing support for, or opposition to, the petition. Moreover, section 732(c)(4)(D) of the Act provides that, if the petition does not establish support of domestic producers or workers accounting for more than 50

---

<sup>13</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303(b).

percent of the total production of the domestic like product, Commerce shall: (i) poll the industry or rely on other information in order to determine if there is support for the petition, as required by subparagraph (A); or (ii) determine industry support using a statistically valid sampling method to poll the “industry.”

Section 771(4)(A) of the Act defines the “industry” as the producers as a whole of a domestic like product. Thus, to determine whether a petition has the requisite industry support, the statute directs Commerce to look to producers and workers who produce the domestic like product. The International Trade Commission (ITC), which is responsible for determining whether “the domestic industry” has been injured, must also determine what constitutes a domestic like product in order to define the industry. While both Commerce and the ITC must apply the same statutory definition regarding the domestic like product,<sup>14</sup> they do so for different purposes and pursuant to a separate and distinct authority. In addition, Commerce’s determination is subject to limitations of time and information. Although this may result in different definitions of the like product, such differences do not render the decision of either agency contrary to law.<sup>15</sup>

Section 771(10) of the Act defines the domestic like product as “a product which is like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the article subject to an investigation under this title.” Thus, the reference point from which the domestic like product analysis begins is “the article subject to an investigation” (*i.e.*, the class or kind of merchandise to be investigated, which normally will be the scope as defined in the petition).

---

<sup>14</sup> See section 771(10) of the Act.

<sup>15</sup> See *USEC, Inc. v. United States*, 132 F. Supp. 2d 1, 8 (CIT 2001) (citing *Algoma Steel Corp., Ltd. v. United States*, 688 F. Supp. 639, 644 (CIT 1988), *aff’d* 865 F.2d 240 (Fed. Cir. 1989)).

With regard to the domestic like product, the petitioner does not offer a definition of the domestic like product distinct from the scope of the investigation.<sup>16</sup> Based on our analysis of the information submitted on the record, we have determined that corrosion inhibitors, as defined in the scope, constitute a single domestic like product, and we have analyzed industry support in terms of that domestic like product.<sup>17</sup>

In determining whether the petitioner has standing under section 732(c)(4)(A) of the Act, we considered the industry support data contained in the Petition with reference to the domestic like product as defined in the “Scope of the Investigation,” in the appendix to this notice. To establish industry support, the petitioner provided its own production of the domestic like product in 2019, as well as 2019 production of its two toll producers, who also support the Petition.<sup>18</sup> The petitioner states that there are no other known producers of corrosion inhibitors in the United States; therefore, the Petition is supported by 100 percent of the U.S. industry.<sup>19</sup> We relied on data provided by the petitioner for purposes of measuring industry support.<sup>20</sup>

Our review of the data provided in the Petition, the General Issues Supplement, and other information readily available to Commerce indicates that the petitioner has established industry support for the Petition.<sup>21</sup> First, the Petition established support from domestic producers (or

---

<sup>16</sup> See Volume I of the Petition at 11-14; *see also* General Issues Supplement at 4-8 and Exhibits I-S2 and I-S3.

<sup>17</sup> For a discussion of the domestic like product analysis as applied to this case and information regarding industry support, *see* Antidumping Duty Investigation Initiation Checklist: Certain Corrosion Inhibitors from the People’s Republic of China (China AD Initiation Checklist) at Attachment II, “Analysis of Industry Support for the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Petitions Covering Certain Corrosion Inhibitors from the People’s Republic of China” (Attachment II), dated concurrently with this notice and on file electronically via ACCESS. Access to documents filed via ACCESS is also available in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Commerce building.

<sup>18</sup> See Volume I of the Petition at 3-4, 9, 14, and Exhibits I-12, I-14, and I-15; *see also* General Issues Supplement at 8-10 and Exhibits I-S4 and I-S8.

<sup>19</sup> See Volume I of the Petition at 3-4; *see also* General Issues Supplement at 8-10 and Exhibits I-S4 and I-S8.

<sup>20</sup> See Volume I of the Petition at 3-4 and 9, and Exhibits I-12, I-14, and I-15; *see also* General Issues Supplement at 8-10 and Exhibits I-S4 and I-S8. For further discussion, *see* China AD Initiation Checklist at Attachment II.

<sup>21</sup> See Volume I of the Petition at 3-4 and 9, and Exhibits I-12, I-14, and I-15; *see also* General Issues Supplement at 8-10 and Exhibits I-S4 and I-S8. For further discussion, *see* China AD Initiation Checklist at Attachment II.

workers) accounting for more than 50 percent of the total production of the domestic like product and, as such, Commerce is not required to take further action in order to evaluate industry support (*e.g.*, polling).<sup>22</sup> Second, the domestic producers (or workers) have met the statutory criteria for industry support under section 732(c)(4)(A)(i) of the Act because the domestic producers (or workers) who support the Petition account for at least 25 percent of the total production of the domestic like product.<sup>23</sup> Finally, the domestic producers (or workers) have met the statutory criteria for industry support under section 732(c)(4)(A)(ii) of the Act because the domestic producers (or workers) who support the Petition account for more than 50 percent of the production of the domestic like product produced by that portion of the industry expressing support for, or opposition to, the Petition.<sup>24</sup> Accordingly, Commerce determines that the Petition was filed on behalf of the domestic industry within the meaning of section 732(b)(1) of the Act.<sup>25</sup>

#### Allegations and Evidence of Material Injury and Causation

The petitioner alleges that the U.S. industry producing the domestic like product is being materially injured, or is threatened with material injury, by reason of the imports of the subject merchandise sold at LTFV. In addition, the petitioner alleges that subject imports exceed the negligibility threshold provided for under section 771(24)(A) of the Act.<sup>26</sup>

The petitioner contends that the industry's injured condition is illustrated by a significant and increasing volume of subject imports; reduced market share; underselling and price depression or suppression; lost sales and revenues; a decline in the domestic industry's financial performance; decline in production, capacity utilization, U.S. shipments, and net sales quantities;

---

<sup>22</sup> See section 732(c)(4)(D) of the Act; *see also* China AD Initiation Checklist, at Attachment II.

<sup>23</sup> See China AD Initiation Checklist at Attachment II.

<sup>24</sup> *Id.*

<sup>25</sup> *Id.*

<sup>26</sup> See Volume I of the Petitions at 17 and Exhibit I-11.

and a decline in the industry's employee indicators, *e.g.*, the average number of employees, their hours worked, and total wages paid.<sup>27</sup> We have assessed the allegations and supporting evidence regarding material injury, threat of material injury, causation, as well as negligibility, and we have determined that these allegations are properly supported by adequate evidence, and meet the statutory requirements for initiation.<sup>28</sup>

#### Allegations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

The following is a description of the allegation of sales at LTFV upon which Commerce based its decision to initiate an AD investigation of imports of corrosion inhibitors from China. The sources of data for the deductions and adjustments relating to U.S. price and normal value (NV) are discussed in greater detail in the AD Initiation Checklist.

#### Export Price

The petitioner based export price (EP) on two sources. First, the petitioner based EP on the average unit value (AUV) of corrosion inhibitors imports from China during the POI, calculated using data from the ITC's Dataweb.<sup>29</sup> Second, the petitioner also based EP on two transaction-specific AUVs for Gold Chemical Ltd. and Nanjing Trust Chemical Co. Ltd. for shipments of tolyltriazole (TTA) identified from China during the POI.<sup>30</sup> In order to calculate ex-factory U.S. prices, where appropriate, the petitioner made deductions from U.S. prices for foreign inland freight and foreign brokerage and handling.<sup>31</sup>

#### Normal Value

---

<sup>27</sup> See Volume I of the Petitions at 14-25 and Exhibits I-5, I-7 through I-15; see also General Issues Supplement at 10-13, and Exhibits I-S5, I-S6, and I-S7.

<sup>28</sup> See China AD Initiation Checklist at Attachment III, "Analysis of Allegations and Evidence of Material Injury and Causation for the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Petitions Covering Certain Corrosion Inhibitors from the People's Republic of China" (Attachment III).

<sup>29</sup> See Volume II of the Petition at Exhibit II-3A; see also General Issues Questionnaire at 8 and Exhibit I-S3.

<sup>30</sup> See Volume II of the Petition at Exhibits II-7A through II-8B; see also AD Supplement at Exhibit II-S1.

<sup>31</sup> See Volume II of the Petition at 5-6 and Exhibit II-4.

Commerce considers China to be an NME country.<sup>32</sup> In accordance with section 771(18)(C)(i) of the Act, any determination that a foreign country is an NME country shall remain in effect until revoked by Commerce. Therefore, we continue to treat China as an NME country for purposes of the initiation of this investigation. Accordingly, NV in China is appropriately based on FOPs valued in a surrogate market economy country, in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act.<sup>33</sup>

The petitioner claims that Malaysia is an appropriate surrogate country for China because it is a market economy country that is at a level of economic development comparable to that of China and it is a significant producer of comparable merchandise.<sup>34</sup> The petitioner provided publicly available information from Malaysia to value all FOPs. Based on the information provided by the petitioner, we determine that it is appropriate to use Malaysia as a surrogate country for initiation purposes.

Interested parties will have the opportunity to submit comments regarding surrogate country selection and, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(i), will be provided an opportunity to submit publicly available information to value FOPs within 30 days before the scheduled date of the preliminary determination.

### Factors of Production

Because information regarding the volume of inputs consumed by Chinese producers/exporters was not reasonably available, the petitioner used its own product-specific

---

<sup>32</sup> See *Antidumping Duty Investigation of Certain Aluminum Foil from the People's Republic of China: Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less-Than-Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination*, 82 FR 50858, 50861 (November 2, 2017), and accompanying decision memorandum, *China's Status as a Non-Market Economy*, unchanged in *Certain Aluminum Foil from the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 83 FR 9282 (March 5, 2018).

<sup>33</sup> See AD Initiation Checklist.

<sup>34</sup> See Volume II of the Petition at 2-4 and Exhibit II-1.

consumption rates as a surrogate to estimate Chinese manufacturers' FOPs.<sup>35</sup> The petitioner valued the estimated FOPs using surrogate values from Malaysia.<sup>36</sup> The petitioner calculated factory overhead, selling, general and administrative expenses, and profit based on the experience of a Malaysian producer of anti-corrosion and anti-wear inhibitors in engine oils.<sup>37</sup>

#### Fair Value Comparisons

Based on the data provided in the Petition, there is reason to believe that imports of corrosion inhibitors from China are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at LTFV. Based on comparisons of EP to NV, in accordance with sections 772 and 773 of the Act, the estimated dumping margins for corrosion inhibitors from China range from 384.97 percent to 420.32 percent.<sup>38</sup>

#### Initiation of LTFV Investigation

Based upon the examination of the Petition on corrosion inhibitors from China, we find that the Petition meets the requirements of section 732 of the Act. Therefore, we are initiating an AD investigation to determine whether imports of corrosion inhibitors from China are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at LTFV. In accordance with section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(1), unless postponed, we will make our preliminary determination no later than 140 days after the date of this initiation.

#### Respondent Selection

---

<sup>35</sup> See Volume II of the Petition at 7 and Exhibit II-9G; *see also* AD Supplement at 3-4.

<sup>36</sup> See Volume II of the Petition at 7 and Exhibits II-5A-B, II-9C-F, and II-10A-11A; *see also* AD Supplement at 2-3.

<sup>37</sup> See Volume II of the Petition at 4, 9, and Exhibit II-11A-C.

<sup>38</sup> See AD Initiation Checklist; *see also* General Issues Supplement at Exhibit II-S2.

The petitioner named nine companies in China as producers/exporters of corrosion inhibitors.<sup>39</sup> In AD investigations involving NME countries, Commerce selects respondents based on quantity and value (Q&V) questionnaires in cases where it has determined that the number of companies is large and it cannot individually examine each company based upon its resources. Given that there are nine producers and exporters identified in the Petition, Commerce has determined that it will issue Q&V questionnaires to each potential respondent for which the petitioner had provided a complete address.

In addition, Commerce will post the Q&V questionnaire along with filing instructions on Enforcement and Compliance's website at <http://www.trade.gov/enforcement/news.asp>. In accordance with the standard practice for respondent selection in AD cases involving NME countries, Commerce intends to base respondent selection on the responses to the Q&V questionnaire that it receives.

Responses to the Q&V questionnaire must be submitted by the relevant Chinese producers/exporters no later than 5:00 PM ET on March 11, 2020. All Q&V questionnaire responses must be filed electronically via ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully, in its entirety, by ACCESS no later than 5:00 p.m. ET on the deadline noted above. Commerce intends to finalize its decisions regarding respondent selection within 20 days of publication of this notice.

### Separate Rates

In order to obtain separate-rate status in an NME investigation, exporters and producers must submit a separate-rate application.<sup>40</sup> The specific requirements for submitting a separate-

---

<sup>39</sup> See Volume I of the Petition at Exhibit I-5.

<sup>40</sup> See Policy Bulletin 05.1: Separate-Rates Practice and Application of Combination Rates in Antidumping Investigation involving Non-Market Economy Countries (April 5, 2005), available at

rate application in a China investigation are outlined in detail in the application itself, which is available on Commerce's website at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/nme/nme-sep-rate.html>. The separate-rate application will be due 30 days after publication of this initiation notice.<sup>41</sup>

Exporters and producers who submit a separate-rate application and have been selected as mandatory respondents will be eligible for consideration for separate-rate status only if they respond to all parts of Commerce's AD questionnaire as mandatory respondents. Commerce requires that companies from China submit a response to both the Q&V questionnaire and the separate-rate application by the respective deadlines in order to receive consideration for separate-rate status. Companies not filing a timely Q&V questionnaire response will not receive separate rate consideration.

#### Use of Combination Rates

Commerce will calculate combination rates for certain respondents that are eligible for a separate rate in an NME investigation. The Separate Rates and Combination Rates Bulletin states:

{w}hile continuing the practice of assigning separate rates only to exporters, all separate rates that the Department will now assign in its NME Investigation will be specific to those producers that supplied the exporter during the period of investigation. Note, however, that one rate is calculated for the exporter and all of the producers which supplied subject merchandise to it during the period of investigation. This practice applies both to mandatory respondents receiving an individually calculated separate rate as well as the pool of non-investigated firms receiving the weighted-average of the individually calculated rates. This practice is referred to as the application of "combination rates" because such rates apply to specific combinations of exporters and one or more producers. The cash-deposit rate assigned to an exporter will apply only to merchandise both exported by the

---

<http://enforcement.trade.gov/policy/bull05-1.pdf> (Policy Bulletin 05.1).

<sup>41</sup> Although in past investigations this deadline was 60 days, consistent with 19 CFR 351.301(a), which states that "the Secretary may request any person to submit factual information at any time during a proceeding," this deadline is now 30 days.

firm in question and produced by a firm that supplied the exporter during the period of investigation.<sup>42</sup>

#### Distribution of Copies of the Petition

In accordance with section 732(b)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.202(f), a copy of the public version of the Petition has been provided to the Government of China via ACCESS.

Furthermore, to the extent practicable, Commerce will attempt to provide a copy of the public version of the Petition to each exporter named in the Petition, as provided under 19 CFR 351.203(c)(2).

#### ITC Notification

Commerce will notify the ITC of its initiation, as required by section 732(d) of the Act.

#### Preliminary Determination by the ITC

The ITC will preliminarily determine, within 45 days after the date on which the Petition was filed, whether there is a reasonable indication that imports of corrosion inhibitors from China are materially injuring or threatening material injury to a U.S. industry.<sup>43</sup> A negative ITC determination will result in the investigation being terminated.<sup>44</sup> Otherwise, this investigation will proceed according to statutory and regulatory time limits.

#### Submission of Factual Information

Factual information is defined in 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) as: (i) evidence submitted in response to questionnaires; (ii) evidence submitted in support of allegations; (iii) publicly available information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c) or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2); (iv) evidence placed on the record by Commerce; and (v) evidence other than factual information described in (i)–(iv). Any party, when

---

<sup>42</sup> See Policy Bulletin 05.1 at 6 (emphasis added).

<sup>43</sup> See section 733(a) of the Act.

<sup>44</sup> *Id.*

submitting factual information, must specify under which subsection of 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) the information is being submitted<sup>45</sup> and, if the information is submitted to rebut, clarify, or correct factual information already on the record, to provide an explanation identifying the information already on the record that the factual information seeks to rebut, clarify, or correct.<sup>46</sup> Time limits for the submission of factual information are addressed in 19 CFR 351.301, which provides specific time limits based on the type of factual information being submitted. Parties wishing to submit factual information in this investigation are asked to review the regulations prior to submitting factual information in this investigation.

#### Extensions of Time Limits

Parties may request an extension of time limits before the expiration of a time limit established under 19 CFR 351.301, or as otherwise specified by the Secretary. In general, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after the expiration of the time limit established under 19 CFR 351.301. For submissions that are due from multiple parties simultaneously, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after 10:00 a.m. ET on the due date. Under certain circumstances, Commerce may elect to specify a different time limit by which extension requests will be considered untimely for submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously. In such a case, Commerce will inform parties in a letter or memorandum of the deadline (including a specified time) by which extension requests must be filed to be considered timely. An extension request must be made in a separate, standalone submission; under limited circumstances Commerce will grant untimely-filed requests for the extension of time limits. Parties should review *Extension of Time Limits; Final Rule*, 78 FR 57790 (September 20, 2013), available at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09->

---

<sup>45</sup> See 19 CFR 351.301(b).

<sup>46</sup> See 19 CFR 351.301(b)(2).

[20/html/2013-22853.htm](http://20/html/2013-22853.htm), prior to submitting extension requests or factual information in this investigation.

### Certification Requirements

Any party submitting factual information in an AD or CVD proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information.<sup>47</sup> Parties must use the certification formats provided in 19 CFR 351.303(g).<sup>48</sup> Commerce intends to reject factual submissions if the submitting party does not comply with the applicable certification requirements.

### Notification to Interested Parties

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Instructions for filing such applications may be found on the Commerce website at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/apo>. Parties wishing to participate in this investigation should ensure that they meet the requirements of 19 CFR 351.103(d) (e.g., by filing a letter of appearance).

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 732(c)(2) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.203(c).

Dated: February 25, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,  
Assistant Secretary  
for Enforcement and Compliance.

---

<sup>47</sup> See section 782(b) of the Act.

<sup>48</sup> See *Certification of Factual Information to Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 42678 (July 17, 2013) (*Final Rule*). Answers to frequently asked questions regarding the *Final Rule* are available at [http://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual\\_info\\_final\\_rule\\_FAQ\\_07172013.pdf](http://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual_info_final_rule_FAQ_07172013.pdf).

## Appendix

### Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by this investigation is tolyltriazole and benzotriazole. This includes tolyltriazole and benzotriazole of all grades and forms, including their sodium salt forms. Tolyltriazole is technically known as Tolyltriazole IUPAC 4,5 methyl benzotriazole. It can also be identified as 4,5 methyl benzotriazole, tolyltriazole, TTA, and TTZ.

Benzotriazole is technically known as IUPAC 1,2,3-Benzotriazole. It can also be identified as 1,2,3-Benzotriazole, 1,2-Aminozophenylene, 1H-Benzotriazole, and BTA.

All forms of tolyltriazole and benzotriazole, including but not limited to flakes, granules, pellets, prills, needles, powder, or liquids, are included within the scope of this investigation.

The scope includes tolyltriazole/sodium tolyltriazole and benzotriazole/sodium benzotriazole that are combined or mixed with other products. For such combined products, only the tolyltriazole/sodium tolyltriazole and benzotriazole/sodium benzotriazole component is covered by the scope of this investigation. Tolyltriazole and sodium tolyltriazole that have been combined with other products is included within the scope, regardless of whether the combining occurs in third countries.

Tolyltriazole, sodium tolyltriazole, benzotriazole and sodium benzotriazole that is otherwise subject to this investigation is not excluded when commingled with tolyltriazole, sodium tolyltriazole, benzotriazole, or sodium benzotriazole from sources not subject to this investigation. Only the subject merchandise component of such commingled products is covered by the scope of this investigation.

A combination or mixture is excluded from this investigation if the total tolyltriazole or benzotriazole component of the combination or mixture (regardless of the source or sources) comprises less than 5 percent of the combination or mixture, on a dry weight basis.

Notwithstanding the foregoing language, a tolyltriazole or benzotriazole combination or mixture that is transformed through a chemical reaction into another product, such that, for example, the tolyltriazole or benzotriazole can no longer be separated from the other products through a distillation or other process is excluded from this investigation.

Tolyltriazole has the Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) registry number 299385-43-1. Tolyltriazole is classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading 2933.99.8220.

Sodium Tolyltriazole has the CAS registry number 64665-57-2 and is classified under HTSUS subheading 2933.99.8290.

Benzotriazole has the CAS registry number 95-14-7 and is classified under HTSUS subheading 2933.99.8210.

Sodium Benzotriazole has the CAS registry number 15217-42-2. Sodium Benzotriazole is classified under HTSUS subheading 2933.99.8290.

Although the HTSUS subheadings and CAS registry numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.  
[FR Doc. 2020-04339 Filed: 3/2/2020 8:45 am; Publication Date: 3/3/2020]