



6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[EPA-R04-OAR-2017-0085; FRL-9966-92-Region 4]

Air Plan Approval and Air Quality Designation; TN; Redesignation of the Knoxville 1997

Annual PM_{2.5} Nonattainment Area to Attainment

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: On December 20, 2016, Tennessee, through the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC), submitted a request for the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to redesignate the Knoxville, Tennessee fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) nonattainment area (hereinafter referred to as the “Knoxville Area” or “Area”) to attainment for the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) and to approve a state implementation plan (SIP) revision containing a maintenance plan, a reasonably available control measures (RACM) determination, and source-specific requirements for the Area. EPA is approving Tennessee’s RACM determination for the Knoxville Area and incorporating it into the SIP; incorporating source-specific requirements for two sources in the Area into the SIP; determining that the Knoxville Area is attaining the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS based on 2013-2015 data; approving Tennessee’s plan for maintaining the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS for the Knoxville Area (maintenance plan), including the associated motor vehicle emission budgets (MVEBs) for nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and direct PM_{2.5} for the years 2014 and 2028, and incorporating it into the SIP; and redesignating the Knoxville Area to attainment for the 1997

Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS.

DATES: This rule will be effective [**insert date of publication in the Federal Register**].

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket Identification No. EPA-R04-OAR-2017-0085. All documents in the docket are listed on the www.regulations.gov web site. Although listed in the index, some information may not be publicly available, i.e., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sean Lakeman of the Air Regulatory Management Section, in the Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. Sean Lakeman may be reached by phone at (404) 562-9043, or via electronic mail at lakeman.sean@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On July 18, 1997, EPA promulgated the first air quality standards for PM_{2.5}. EPA promulgated an annual standard at a level of 15.0 micrograms per cubic meter ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$), based on a 3-year average of annual mean PM_{2.5} concentrations. In the same rulemaking, EPA promulgated a 24-hour standard of 65 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, based on a 3-year average of the 98th percentile of 24-hour concentrations. On October 17, 2006 (71 FR 61144), EPA retained the annual average NAAQS at 15.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ but revised the 24-hour NAAQS to 35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, based again on the 3-year average of the 98th percentile of 24-hour concentrations.

On January 5, 2005, at 70 FR 944, and supplemented on April 14, 2005, at 70 FR 19844, EPA designated the Knoxville Area as nonattainment for the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS. All 1997 PM_{2.5} NAAQS areas were designated under title I, part D, subpart 1 (hereinafter “Subpart 1”). Subpart 1 contains the general requirements for nonattainment areas for any pollutant governed by a NAAQS and is less prescriptive than the other subparts of title I, part D. On April 25, 2007 (72 FR 20586), EPA promulgated its Clean Air Fine Particle Implementation Rule, codified at 40 CFR part 51, subpart Z, in which the Agency provided guidance for state and tribal plans to implement the 1997 PM_{2.5} NAAQS. The United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit) remanded the Clean Air Fine Particle Implementation Rule and the final rule entitled “Implementation of the New Source Review (NSR) Program for Particulate Matter Less than 2.5 Micrometers (PM_{2.5})” (73 FR 28321, May 16, 2008) (collectively, “1997 PM_{2.5} Implementation Rules”) to EPA on January 4, 2013, in *Natural Resources Defense Council v. EPA*, 706 F.3d 428 (D.C. Cir. 2013). The Court found that EPA

erred in implementing the 1997 PM_{2.5} NAAQS pursuant to the general implementation provisions of Subpart 1, rather than the particulate matter-specific provisions of title I, part D, subpart 4 (hereinafter “Subpart 4”).

On June 2, 2014, EPA published a rule entitled “Identification of Nonattainment Classification and Deadlines for Submission of State Implementation Plan (SIP) Provisions for the 1997 Fine Particle (PM_{2.5}) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) and 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS”. *See* 79 FR 31566. In that rule, the Agency responded to the D.C. Circuit’s January 2013 decision by identifying all PM_{2.5} nonattainment areas for the 1997 and 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS as “moderate” nonattainment areas under Subpart 4, and by establishing a new SIP submission date of December 31, 2014, for moderate area attainment plans and for any additional attainment-related or nonattainment new source review plans necessary for areas to comply with the requirements applicable under Subpart 4. *Id.* at 31567-70.

Based on its moderate nonattainment area classification, Tennessee was required to submit a SIP revision addressing RACM pursuant to CAA section 172(c)(1) and section 189(a)(1)(C) for the Area. Although EPA does not believe that section 172(c)(1) and section 189(a)(1)(C) RACM must be approved into a SIP prior to redesignation of an area to attainment once that area is attaining the NAAQS, EPA is approving Tennessee’s RACM determination and incorporating it into its SIP pursuant to a recent decision by the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit in *Sierra Club v. EPA*, 793 F.3d 656 (6th Cir. 2015).

In a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) published on May 30, 2017 (82 FR 24636), EPA proposed to: 1) approve Tennessee’s RACM determination for the Knoxville Area pursuant to CAA sections 172(c)(1) and 189(a)(1)(C) and incorporate it into the SIP; 2)

determine that the Knoxville Area is attaining the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS based on 2013-2015 air quality data; 3) approve Tennessee's maintenance plan for the Knoxville Area, including the 2014 and 2028 MVEBs for PM_{2.5} and NO_x, and incorporate it into the SIP; 4) incorporate source-specific requirements for two sources located in the Area - the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) Bull Run Fossil Plant and TVA Kingston Fossil Plant - into the SIP; and 5) redesignate the Knoxville Area to attainment for the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS.¹ The details of Tennessee's submittal and the rationale for EPA's actions are further explained in the NPRM. EPA did not receive any adverse comments on the proposed action.

II. What are the Effects of These Actions?

EPA's approval changes the legal designation of Anderson, Blount, Knox, and Loudon Counties and a portion of Roane County for the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS, found at 40 CFR part 81, from nonattainment to attainment. Approval of Tennessee's associated SIP revision also incorporates a plan for maintaining the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS in the Area through 2028, Tennessee's RACM determination, and source-specific requirements for two sources in the Area into the Tennessee SIP. The maintenance plan includes contingency measures to remedy any future violations of the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS and procedures for evaluation of potential violations. The maintenance plan also includes NO_x and PM_{2.5} MVEBs for 2014 and 2028 for the Knoxville Area. The 2014 and 2028 PM_{2.5} MVEBs are 444.78 tons per year (tpy) and 245.00 tpy, respectively. The 2014 and 2028 NO_x MVEBs are 15,597.73 tpy and 7,171.14 tpy, respectively.

¹ In a notice published in the Federal Register on March 10, 2017, EPA announced that it had found the MVEBs for the Knoxville Area for the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS adequate for transportation conformity purposes. *See* 82 FR 13337.

In the Fine Particulate Matter National Ambient Air Quality Standards: State Implementation Plan Requirements final rule (PM_{2.5} SIP Requirements Rule), EPA revoked the 1997 primary Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS in areas that had always been attainment for that NAAQS, and in areas that had been designated as nonattainment but that were redesignated to attainment before October 24, 2016, the rule's effective date. *See* 81 FR 58010 (August 24, 2016). EPA also finalized a provision that revokes the 1997 primary Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS in areas that are redesignated to attainment for that NAAQS after October 24, 2016, effective on the effective date of the redesignation of the area to attainment for that NAAQS. *See* 40 CFR 50.13(d).

EPA is finalizing the redesignation of the Knoxville Area to attainment for the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS and finalizing the approval of the CAA section 175A maintenance plan for the 1997 primary Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS.² Therefore, the 1997 primary Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS will be revoked in the Knoxville Area on the effective date of this redesignation, **[insert date of publication in the Federal Register]**. Beginning on that date, the Area will no longer be subject to transportation or general conformity requirements for the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS due to the revocation of the primary NAAQS. *See* 81 FR 58125 (August 24, 2016). The Area is required to implement the CAA section 175A maintenance plan for the 1997 primary Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS that is being approved in this action and the prevention of significant deterioration program for the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS. The approved maintenance plan can only be revised if the revision meets the requirements of CAA section 110(l) and, if applicable, CAA section 193. The Area is not required to submit a second 10-year

² CAA section 175A(a) establishes the maintenance plan requirements that must be fulfilled by nonattainment areas in order to be redesignated to attainment. That section only requires that nonattainment areas for the *primary* standard submit a plan addressing maintenance of the *primary* NAAQS in order to be redesignated to attainment; it does not require nonattainment areas for secondary NAAQS to submit maintenance plans in order to be redesignated to attainment. *See* 42 U.S.C. 7505a(a).

maintenance plan for the 1997 primary Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS. *See* 81 FR 58144 (August 24, 2016).

III. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule, EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of the following Title V permit limits and conditions in Appendix L of Tennessee's December 20, 2016 SIP revision, state effective on December 20, 2016: permit conditions E3-4(a), (d), and (e), E3-15, and E3-16 for the TVA Kingston Fossil Plant, and permit conditions E3-4(a), (d), and (e), E3-15, and E3-16 for the TVA Bull Run Fossil Plant. Therefore, these materials have been approved by EPA for inclusion in the State implementation plan, have been incorporated by reference by EPA into that plan, are fully federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rulemaking of EPA's approval, and will be incorporated by reference by the Director of the Federal Register in the next update to the SIP compilation.³

EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials generally available through www.regulations.gov and/or at the EPA Region 4 Office (please contact the person identified in the "For Further Information Contact" section of this preamble for more information).

IV. Final Actions

EPA is taking the following final actions: 1) approving Tennessee's RACM determination for the Knoxville Area pursuant to CAA sections 172(c)(1) and 189(a)(1)(C) and incorporating it into the SIP; 2) determining that the Area is attaining the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS based on 2013-2015 data; 3) approving Tennessee's plan for maintaining the 1997

³ 62 FR 27968 (May 22, 1997).

Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS (maintenance plan), including the associated 2014 and 2028 MVEBs for the Knoxville Area, and incorporating it into the Tennessee SIP; 4) incorporating source-specific requirements for two sources in the Area into the SIP; and 5) redesignating the Knoxville Area to attainment for the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS.

Approval of the redesignation request changes the official designation of Anderson, Blount, Knox, and Loudon Counties and a portion of Roane County for the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS, found at 40 CFR part 81 from nonattainment to attainment.

As mentioned above, the PM_{2.5} SIP Requirements Rule provides that the 1997 PM_{2.5} NAAQS will be revoked for any area that is redesignated for the NAAQS upon the effective date of the redesignation. Therefore, the 1997 primary Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS is revoked for the Knoxville Area on the effective date of this redesignation.

EPA has determined that these actions are effective immediately upon publication under the authority of 5 U.S.C. 553(d). The purpose of the 30-day waiting period prescribed in section 553(d) is to give affected parties a reasonable time to adjust their behavior and prepare before the final rule takes effect. Section 553(d)(3) allows an effective date less than 30 days after publication “as otherwise provided by the agency for good cause found and published with the rule.” EPA finds good cause to make these actions effective immediately pursuant to section 553(d)(3) because they do not create any new regulatory requirements such that affected parties would need time to prepare before the actions take effect. The RACM determination does not create any new regulatory requirements because it concludes that no additional measures are necessary to meet the State’s obligations to have fully adopted RACM; incorporating the aforementioned Title V permit terms and conditions for the TVA Bull Run Fossil Plant and the

TVA Kingston Fossil Plant into the SIP does not create any new regulatory requirements because these sources were subject, and remain subject, to these terms and conditions through their Title V permits; and redesignating the Area to attainment, including the associated determination of attainment and maintenance plan approval, relieves the Area from certain CAA requirements that would otherwise apply to it. Because the redesignation relieves the Area from requirements, its immediate effective date is also authorized under section 553(d)(1) which allows an effective date less than 30 days after publication if a substantive rule “relieves a restriction.”

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, redesignation of an area to attainment and the accompanying approval of a maintenance plan under section 107(d)(3)(E) are actions that affect the status of a geographical area and do not impose any additional regulatory requirements on sources beyond those imposed by state law. A redesignation to attainment does not in and of itself create any new requirements, but rather results in the applicability of requirements contained in the CAA for areas that have been redesignated to attainment. Moreover, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. *See* 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, these actions merely approve state law as meeting federal requirements and do not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, these actions:

- Are not significant regulatory actions subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January, 21, 2011);
- Do not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Are certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Do not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4);
- Do not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Are not economically significant regulatory actions based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Are not significant regulatory actions subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Are not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Will not have disproportionate human health or environmental effects under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it impose substantial direct costs of tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by **[insert date 60 days after date of publication in the Federal Register]**. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. *See* section 307(b)(2).

List of Subjects

40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen oxides, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

40 CFR Part 81

Environmental protection, Air pollution control.

Dated: August 16, 2017.

V. Anne Heard,
Acting Regional Administrator,
Region 4.

40 CFR parts 52 and 81 are amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart RR--Tennessee

2. In §52.2220:

a. The table in paragraph (d) is amended by adding the entries “TVA Bull Run Fossil Plant” and “TVA Kingston Fossil Plant” at the end of the table.

b. The table in paragraph (e) is amended by adding the entries “1997 Annual PM_{2.5} Maintenance Plan for the Knoxville Area” and “RACM determination for the Knoxville Area for the 1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS” at the end of the table.

The additions read as follows:

§52.2220 Identification of plan.

(d) * * *

EPA-Approved Tennessee Source-Specific Requirements

Name of Source	Permit No.	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
**	**	*	*	*
TVA Bull Run Fossil Plant	n/a	12/20/2016	[insert date of	Title V permit limits and conditions E3-

			publication in the Federal Register], [insert Federal Register citation]	4(a), (d), and (e), E3-15, and E3-16 in Appendix L of Tennessee's December 20, 2016 SIP revision
TVA Kingston Fossil Plant	n/a	12/20/2016	[insert date of publication in the Federal Register], [insert Federal Register citation]	Title V permit limits and conditions E3-4(a), (d), and (e), E3-15, and E3-16 in Appendix L of Tennessee's December 20, 2016 SIP revision

(e) * * *

EPA-Approved Tennessee Non-Regulatory Provisions

Name of non-regulatory SIP provision	Applicable geographic or nonattainment area	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
**	**	*	*	*
1997 Annual PM _{2.5} Maintenance Plan for the Knoxville Area	Anderson, Blount, Knox, and Loudon Counties and a portion of Roane County (the area described by U.S. Census 2000 block group identifier 47-145-0307-2.)	12/20/2016	[insert date of publication in the Federal Register], [insert Federal Register citation]	

RACM determination for the Knoxville Area for the 1997 Annual PM _{2.5} NAAQS	Anderson, Blount, Knox, and Loudon Counties and a portion of Roane County (the area described by U.S. Census 2000 block group identifier 47-145-0307-2.)	12/20/2016	[insert date of publication in the Federal Register], [insert Federal Register citation]	
---	--	------------	--	--

PART 81--DESIGNATION OF AREAS FOR AIR QUALITY PLANNING PURPOSES

3. The authority citation for part 81 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401, *et seq.*

4. In §81.343, the table entitled “Tennessee--1997 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS [Primary and secondary]” is amended by revising the entry “Knoxville, TN:” to read as follows:

§81.343 Tennessee.

* * * * *

TENNESSEE—1997 ANNUAL PM_{2.5} NAAQS
[Primary and secondary]

Designated area	Designation ^a		Classification	
	Date ¹	Type	Date ²	Type
**	**	*	*	*
Knoxville, TN:	[insert date of publication in the Federal Register]	Attainment		
Anderson County		Attainment		
Blount County		Attainment		
Knox County		Attainment		

Loudon County		Attainment		
Roane County (part)		Attainment		
The area described by U.S. Census 2000 block group identifier 47-145-0307-2.				
**	**	*	*	*

^a Includes Indian Country located in each county or area, except as otherwise specified.

¹ This date is 90 days after January 5, 2005, unless otherwise noted.

² This date is July 2, 2014, unless otherwise noted.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2017-18213 Filed: 8/28/2017 8:45 am; Publication Date: 8/29/2017]