Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Partnerships

March 30, 2016

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”), and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on March 17, 2016, NASDAQ PHLX LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change


II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in

Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to delete certain Phlx membership rules in order to harmonize and modernize the Exchange’s Rulebook. Specifically, Exchange proposes to delete Rules: 902 entitled, “Admission to Partnership-Partnership Arrangements”; and 907, entitled “Partners and Officers.” Rule 902 was retained through the demutualization process in 2004 and is no longer applicable to the business today. Although Rule 907 was established following the demutualization the requirements are no longer necessary. The proposed changes related to the former need for the Exchange to more acutely understand the ownership structure of partnerships as discussed in greater detail below.

Rule 902 was applicable when Phlx offered seats to its members, prior to demutualization. Before demutualization, Phlx seats conveyed ownership of the Exchange, which created a greater obligation on Phlx to gather information on the members’ corporate structure. Specifically, Phlx was obligated to maintain a heightened vigilance on the structure, ownership, and change of control in a partnership in order to ensure the financial integrity of its ownership structure.

Today, permits are issued to Exchange members and member organizations. The Exchange no longer needs to differentiate ownership structure as required under Rule 902 and 907 because the permit structure conveys no ownership of the Exchange to the membership. These membership rules related to partnerships are no longer applicable today. The distinctions
regarding the admission of member as a partnership, as compared to a corporation, are no longer relevant. The Exchange proposes to remove these outdated Rules.

**Statutory Basis**

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with section 6(b) of the Act,\(^3\) in general, and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(5) of the Act,\(^4\) in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that Rules 902 and 907(a) are burdensome and unnecessary. These rules regarding admission of partnerships and changes to the partnership serve no modern purpose to the Exchange. The former ownership structure required the Exchange to be vigilant of the ownership structure of its members in case of financial distress or bankruptcy as the seat structure was vital to the financial condition of the Exchange. Before demutualization, members had an ownership interest in the Exchange. Today, permits convey no ownership and therefore such vigilance as to the ownership structure of members is not warranted.

The only changes to the rules since demutualization were in 2009 in order to replace the term “Membership Committee” with “Membership Department,” which was done in conjunction with other changes to the Exchange’s standing committees and corporate governance processes in order to make the Exchange more similar to the other Nasdaq SROs.

---

\(^3\) 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

Rule 907(b) is burdensome and unnecessary as well. The obligations on the firm, its employees, and officers are not predicated on the requirement that one of the officers be a member of the exchange, therefore this rule has become obsolete. These rules have remained on the books of the exchange for several years, despite their obsolescence because they were not inconsistent with the membership process and the overall regulatory goals of the Exchange.

The removal of Rules 902 and 907 will promote just and equitable principles of trade, and foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities by removing burdensome requirements so that members and member organizations may properly focus on other relevant requirements which benefit the marketplace.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange’s proposed amendments seek to delete certain unnecessary rules which today burden partnerships over corporations.

The deletions of Rules 902 and 907(a) will remove a current burden on competition which requires members and member organizations that are partnerships to disclose unnecessary information as compared to other corporate entities not structured as a partnership.

The deletion of 907(b) will remove a current burden on competition by eliminating the need to identify an officer that is a member of the exchange which will have no practical effect on the exchange’s interaction with the company. The Exchange does not believe that there is any impact on inter-market competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.
III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved. The Exchange has provided the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or

---

• Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2016-36 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2016-36. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.
All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2016-36 and should be submitted on or before [INSERT DATE 21 DAYS FROM DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 7

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.
