



This document is scheduled to be published in the Federal Register on 06/25/2014 and available online at <http://federalregister.gov/a/2014-14911>, and on [FDsys.gov](http://FDsys.gov)

BILLING CODE: 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-423-808

Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012-2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

DATES: *Effective:* [Insert date of publication in the *Federal Register*].

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Department) is currently conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel plate in coils (steel plate) from Belgium, covering the period of review (POR) May 1, 2012, through April 30, 2013. This review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Aperam Stainless Belgium N.V. (ASB). We preliminarily determine that, during the POR, ASB and its affiliate, Aperam Stainless Services and Solutions USA (Aperam USA) made U.S. sales that were below normal value. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jolanta Lawska or Cindy Robinson, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-8362 or (202) 482-3797, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Scope of the Order

The product covered by the *Order*<sup>1</sup> is certain stainless steel plate in coils. Stainless steel is alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject plate products are flat-rolled products, 254 mm or over in width and 4.75 mm or more in thickness, in coils, and annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled.<sup>2</sup> The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable in the harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheadings: 7219.11.00.30, 7219.11.00.60, 7219.12.00.02, 7219.12.00.05, 7219.12.00.06, 7219.12.00.20, 7219.12.00.21, 7219.12.00.25, 7219.12.00.26, 7219.12.00.50, 7219.12.00.51, 7219.12.00.55, 7219.12.00.56, 7219.12.00.65, 7219.12.00.66, 7219.12.00.70, 7219.12.00.71, 7219.12.00.80, 7219.12.00.81, 7219.31.00.10, 7219.90.00.10, 7219.90.00.20, 7219.90.00.25, 7219.90.00.60, 7219.90.00.80, 7220.11.00.00, 7220.20.10.10, 7220.20.10.15, 7220.20.10.60, 7220.20.10.80, 7220.20.60.05, 7220.20.60.10, 7220.20.60.15, 7220.20.60.60, 7220.20.60.80, 7220.90.00.10, 7220.90.00.15, and 7220.90.00.60. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.

---

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan*, 64 FR 27756 (May 21, 1999); *Notice of Amended Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan*, 68 FR 11520 (March 11, 2003); *Notice of Amended Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan*, 68 FR 16117 (April 2, 2003); *Notice of Correction to the Amended Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan*, 68 FR 20114 (April 24, 2003) (*Order*).

<sup>2</sup> For a full description of the scope of the order, see the Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, titled “Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium: Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012-2013,” dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

## Methodology

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Constructed export price (CEP) is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal Value (NV) is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

## Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that a weighted-average dumping margin of 1.53 percent exists for ASB for the period May 1, 2012, through April 30, 2013.

## Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose to parties to this proceeding the calculations performed in reaching the preliminary results within five days after the date of publication of these preliminary results.<sup>3</sup> Interested parties may submit written comments (case briefs) no later than 30 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results and rebuttal comments (rebuttal briefs) no later than five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.<sup>4</sup> Pursuant to 19

---

<sup>3</sup> *See* 19 CFR 351.224(b).

<sup>4</sup> *See* 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii) and (d)(1).

CFR 351.309(d)(2), rebuttal briefs must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs. Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument: (1) a statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities. All briefs must be filed electronically using IA ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department's electronic records system, IA ACCESS, by 5 p.m. Eastern Time on the date the document is due.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via IA ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department's electronic records system, IA ACCESS, by 5 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the date and time for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.<sup>5</sup>

The Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised by the parties in their comments, within 120 days after the publication of these preliminary results, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

#### Assessment Rate

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b), upon issuance of the final results of the review, the Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess,

---

<sup>5</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310.

antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. If ASB's weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis*, we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis* (*i.e.*, 0.50 percent). Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each respondent for which they did not know that their merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, *see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for ASB will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 8.54 percent, the all-others rate established in the investigation.<sup>6</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

---

<sup>6</sup> *Implementation of the Findings of the WTO Panel in US--Zeroing (EC): Notice of Determinations Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Revocations and Partial Revocations of Certain Antidumping Duty Orders*, 72 FR 25261 (May 4, 2007).

Notification to Interested Parties

These preliminary results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

---

Ronald K. Lorentzen  
Acting Assistant Secretary  
for Enforcement and Compliance

June 18, 2014  
Date

## Appendix

### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

Summary

Background

Scope of the Order

Discussion of Methodology

Comparisons to Normal Value

Product Comparisons

A. Determination of Comparison Method

B. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis

Date of Sale

Constructed Export Price

Normal Value

A. Home Market Viability

B. Level of Trade

C. Cost of Production

1. Calculation of Cost of Production

2. Test of Home Market Prices

3. Results of COP Test

D. Calculation of NV Based on Comparison Market Prices

E. Calculation of NV Based On Constructed Value

Currency Conversion

Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014-14911 Filed 06/24/2014 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 06/25/2014]